Public Works (1897) Department.

G.O., No. 249 I

DATED 11 March 1897

[Despatch Abstract.]

Suit. Authorizing with remarks, the defence at the public expense of original suit No. 709 of 1896 on the file of the Dt. Munsif's Court at Tirumangalam ~~[illegible]~~ brought by Piece worker Iyaloo Naidu for recovery of certain sum for work alleged to have been done by him on the Periyar channel excavation in the 1st reach.

[signature]

[P.W. 25. 5,000—30-11-96.]

TC 12-3-97

Indexed.

15/3/97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

His Excellency the Govr.

Public Works (1897) Department.

Urgent

Emergent

Regr 2582
CURRENT No. ~~34 M.D.~~

B

Order Sanctioned, presuming that the local D.P.W. can prove that the work has not been done.
No. 249 J. of 11-3-97

Issue at once 11/3/97

A.S.H. 9.3.97

The defence of the suit at the public expense is authorised and the result should be duly reported.

2. It is presumed that the local D.P.W. officers can prove that the work has not been done.

8/3/97

W.H. 8/3/97

From The Supg. Engineer 6th Circle

No. 314 M.D.

Dated 1st March 1897

Received 11-3-97 ~~4-3-97~~

Enclosures 4.

Spare copies

Issue

D.S. 10/3
Para 2 added for approval.

MH 11.3.97

SUBJECT.

Suit brought by contract Iyalu Naidu for the recovery of Rs 698-11-2-

Forwards copy of letter &c from the Special Executive Engineer, Madura for sanction of Government to defend the –

The Supg. Engineer, Sixth Circle, with Enclos. 4
" Chief Engr. for Irrigation
" Examiner, P.W. Accts.
" Revenue Dept.
per 11/3/97

Note for HE /ODS
Please note that this G.O. should issue as quickly as possible to reach the S.E. before the 16 instant to which date the Suit has been adjourned – SOR 10/3/97

6/3/97

Recd. 12.27 P.M. 11/3/97.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Letter from T. W. S Smyth Esqre., Executive Engineer, Periyar works, Madura, to the Superintending Engineer 6th Circle, Trichinopoly dated 21st February 1897 No. 95. S.E:—

I have the honor to forward herewith for your approval, a draft defence drawn by the Government Pleader, Madura, in Original Suit No. 709 of 1896 on the File of the District Munsiff of Tirumangalam and to request you will be good enough to obtain at an early date, the sanction of Government to defend the case at Government expense.

2. A Statement giving the particulars of the case is herein enclosed for your information.

3. The connected documents

with

with the case including the copy of Trial Bill is also enclosed.

4. The case was to have heard on the 16th February 1897, but, as the draft defence was not received from the Government Pleader in time to apply for Government sanction to defend the case at Government expense, he was requested to obtain an adjournment which he promised to get for a month.

No. 314 M D of 1st March 1897.

O/p.

Copy submitted to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation for sanction of Government to defend the suit at the public expense.

Enclo: 4
1 Statement
1 Draft defence
1 Summons with
1 Enclosure.
4

2. The Statement giving the particulars of the case as also the draft defence and the summons

from

from the District Munsiff of Tirumangalam are herewith forwarded for information and kind return.

O.V. Bosley

Lieutt. Col. R.E.

Supg. Engineer 6th circle.

27/12

CHIEF ENGINEER
FOR IRRIGATION.
4 MAR 92
No 34 MD